



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virgin	ia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/079,646	02/19/2002	Elena A. Fedorovskaya	83957RLO	7936
7	590 07/11/2006		EXAM	INER
Thomas H. Close		SINGH, SATWANT K		
Patent Legal St			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Eastman Kodal	k Company		AKTONII	FAFER NOMBER
343 State Stree	t		2625	
Rochester, NY 14650-2201		DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/079,646	FEDOROVSKAYA ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Satwant K. Singh	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv.	•	o final rejection, which ever is later. In no			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS					
	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered because			
<ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li> </ul>					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
<ul> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.</li> </ul>					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 06/26/06					
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>06/26/06</u> 13. ☐ Other:					
KAU	VIlliamo So	heart Sufh			
KINDEDIN	MULLIANC	1			

KIMBERLY WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The filing date of the prior art of Matraszek et al. (US 2003/0122839) has a filing date of December 26, 2001, which is prior to the filing date of the present application which is February 19, 2002.